

# Journal of Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society

Edited by Julie Fedor, Andrey Makarychev, Andreas Umland, Gergana Dimova, Eleonora Narvselius

## Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

The academic *Journal of Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society (JSPPS)* is a bi-annual journal that was launched in April 2015 as a companion journal to the Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society book series (founded 2004 and edited by Andreas Umland, Dr. phil., PhD). Like the book series, the journal provides an interdisciplinary forum for new original research on the Soviet and post-Soviet world. The journal publishes creative, intelligent, and lively writing tackling and illuminating significant issues and capable of engaging wider educated audiences beyond the academy.

All articles submitted to the journal undergo double-blind peer review by at least two referees.

### Responsibilities of the journal editor

The editors are responsible for deciding which book proposal submitted to the journal will be accepted for publishing. They coordinate the peer review process. The editorial committee and the advisory board assist the journal editors in fulfilling their responsibilities. The manuscripts submitted for the reviewing process will be evaluated without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy. The decision whether to publish a manuscript within JSPPS will be based on its importance, originality and clarity, and the study's validity and its relevance to the topics discussed within JSPPS. The review process is conducted with the utmost confidentiality. Any information about a submitted manuscript will not be disclosed to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate. Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript will not be used in the editors' or reviewers research without the express written consent of the author. The journal editors will strive to prevent any potential conflict of interest between the authors or editors of a submitted manuscript and the editorial and review personnel.

If an ethical complaint is made concerning a submitted or published manuscript, the journal editors will, in cooperation with the publisher, take reasonable measures to such a claim by contacting the author and, if the author's response is not satisfying, by contacting the relevant employers or institution for further investigation.

### Responsibilities of the authors

It is the author's responsibility to ensure that the work submitted is entirely original. Any work or words of other authors, contributors, or sources has to be credited and referenced appropriately.

Authors of original research have to present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data has to be represented accurately. Furthermore, the data should be presented in such a way that others are permitted to replicate the work.

Manuscripts which have been published elsewhere cannot be submitted. In addition, publishing the same research repeatedly constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to ensure that all co-authors are listed and have approved the final version of the manuscript and its submission.

All authors should include a statement disclosing any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editors or the publisher and to cooperate to retract or correct the manuscript.

By submitting the manuscript for publication, authors confirm that their work is meeting these standards.

## **Responsibilities of reviewers**

Reviewers are responsible for assisting in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the authors in improving their work.

The manuscripts submitted for the reviewing process will be evaluated under the utmost confidentiality and without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy. In addition, the reviewing process should be conducted objectively. Criticism should be stated clearly with supporting arguments. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate.

Reviewers have to report to the journal editor or the publisher if they are aware of copyright infringement and plagiarism on the author's side. A reviewer who feels unqualified to review the manuscript, knows that its prompt review will be impossible, or discovers a conflict of interest has to notify the journal editors or the publisher immediately and excuse her- or himself from the review process.