

### Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

The academic Journal of Romance Languages and their Didactics (in the following: ZRomSD) publishes scholarly articles on theoretical, empirical, and practical aspects of the didactics in Romance languages. The editors of ZRomSD, its authors, and members of the advisory board as well as the publisher, *ibidem* Press, agree upon standards of ethical behaviour for all parties involved in publishing within ZRomSD. Submissions violating these standards will not be accepted for publication. The ZRomSD Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement is based on the recommendations of COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics, see: <https://publicationethics.org/>), especially its [Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines](#) and recommendations on [International Standards for Editors](#); for a general oversight, see here: <https://publicationethics.org/resources/code-conduct>.

#### Responsibilities of the journal editors

The journal editors coordinate the double-blind peer-review process and take the final decision whether an article is accepted for publication. The editors shall ensure that the reviewing process is carried out without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political orientation. The decision of acceptance or refusal will be exclusively based on its relevance to the field of didactics of Romance languages, its originality, clarity, and validity. The review process is conducted with the utmost confidentiality. Any information about a submitted article will not be disclosed to anyone other than the authors, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher. Unpublished materials of submitted articles will not be used in the editors' or reviewers' research without the written consent of the authors. The journal editors strive to prevent any potential conflict of interest between the authors of a submitted article and the review personnel.

#### Responsibilities of the authors

It is the authors' responsibility to ensure that the work submitted is original. Any work or words of other authors, contributors, or sources have to be referenced appropriately. Authors of original research have to present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data has to be represented accurately. Furthermore, the data should be

presented in such a way that others are permitted to replicate the work. All those who have made significant contributions to the article should be listed as co-authors and have to approve the final version of the article. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed. By submitting the article for publication, authors confirm that their work is meeting these standards.

## **Responsibilities of the reviewers**

Reviewers are part of the journal's advisory board. They are responsible for providing written reviews. The submitted articles will be evaluated objectively, under the utmost confidentiality and without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political orientation. Criticism should be stated clearly using supporting arguments with the aim to assist the authors in improving their work. Personal criticism of authors is inappropriate. Reviewers have to report to the journal editors if they suspect copyright infringement and plagiarism on the authors' side. Reviewers who feel unqualified to review the article, know that its prompt review will be impossible, or discover a conflict of interest have to notify the journal editors immediately and excuse themselves from the review process.