

## Journal of Romanian Studies

The academic *Journal of Romanian Studies* publishes scholarly articles on various theoretical and empirical aspects of the recent history and current affairs of Romania, Moldova, their minorities, and the Romanian diaspora living abroad. Journal editors, authors, the members of the advisory board together with the publisher, ibidem Press, agree upon standards of expected ethical behavior for all parties involved in the act of publishing within the JRS. Submissions not according to these standards will not be accepted for publication. The JRS Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement is based on COPE's Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines (available for downloading at <https://publicationethics.org/resources/code-conduct>).

### Responsibilities of the journal editors

The journal editors are responsible for deciding which articles submitted to the journal will be accepted for publication. They coordinate the peer-review process. The advisory board assists the journal editors in fulfilling their responsibilities. The articles submitted for the reviewing process will be evaluated without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political orientation. The decision whether to publish an article in the JRS will be based on its importance, originality, clarity, and validity, as well as its relevance to the field of Romanian Studies, broadly conceived.

The review process is conducted with the utmost confidentiality. Any information about a submitted article will not be disclosed to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate. Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted article will not be used in the editors' or reviewers' research without the express written consent of the author(s). The journal editors will strive to prevent any potential conflict of interest between the authors of a submitted article and the editorial and review personnel.

If an ethical complaint is made concerning a submitted or published article, the journal editors will, in cooperation with the publisher, take reasonable measures to such a claim by contacting the author and, if the author's response is not satisfying, by contacting the relevant employers or institution for further investigation.

### Responsibilities of authors

It is the author's responsibility to ensure that the work submitted is entirely original. Any work or words of other authors, contributors, or sources have to be credited and referenced appropriately.

Authors of original research have to present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data has to be represented accurately. Furthermore, the data should be presented in such a way that others are permitted to replicate the work.

Articles which have been published elsewhere cannot be submitted. In addition, publishing the same research repeatedly constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to ensure that all co-authors are listed and have approved the final version of the article and its submission.

All authors should include a statement disclosing any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might influence the results or interpretation of their article. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editors or the publisher and to cooperate to retract or correct the article.

By submitting the article for publication, authors confirm that their work is meeting these standards.

### **Responsibilities of reviewers**

Reviewers are responsible for assisting in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the authors in improving their work.

The articles submitted for the reviewing process will be evaluated under the utmost confidentiality and without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political orientation. In addition, the reviewing process should be conducted objectively. Criticism should be stated clearly with supporting arguments. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Reviewers have to report to the journal editors or the publisher if they are aware of copyright infringement and plagiarism on the author's side. A reviewer who feels unqualified to review the article, knows that its prompt review will be impossible, or discovers a conflict of interest has to notify the journal editors or the publisher immediately and excuse herself or himself from the review process.